

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/712,045 | TSAI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kallambella Vijayakumar | 1751 | |

All Participants:

(1) Kallambella Vijayakumar.

(2) Joe McKinney Muncy.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 November 2006

Time: 11:15 hrs

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

7, 8, 12, 18, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

To condition the application for allowance by overcoming 112 -II para issues and to correct few errors in the Specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)